

Temukan pesan, dokumen, foto, atau orang Lanjutan



Awal

Tulis

Kembali Arsipkan Pindahkan Hapus

- Email Masuk 375
- Belum Dibaca
- Berbintang
- Draft 168
- Terkirim
- Arsip
- Spam
- Sampah
- Lebih sedikit
- Tampilan Sembunyikan
- Foto
- Dokumen
- Langganan
- Folder Sembunyikan
- + Folder Baru
- Boxbe Waiting ... 35
- BP kelas B 7
- BP2015A 4
- BPKelas A
- Evaluasi 2015C
- Evaluasi 2015D 1
- Evaluasi 2018
- FACEBOOK
- fb 2,8 rb
- MATRIKS
- PELATIHAN
- Perencanaan 2014 B
- Perencanaan 2014 C
- STKIP
- tanpa nama
- UAS PERANGKAT... 9
- UM 2012B
- Unwanted
- WORKSHOP

[ICETECH2021] Editorial Decision on Paper 2 Yahoo/Email M...

Dr. Tantri Mayasari <i> Sen, 2 Agu 2021 jam 12.50

Kepada: Wiwin Sri Hidayati Cc: Faridatul Masrurroh, Jauhara Dian Nurul Iffah, Syarifatul Ma'ulah, Lia Budi Trisanti

Wiwin Sri Hidayati:

After a careful review of your submission, "THINKING PROCESS OF CLASS IX JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS IN SOLVING PROBLEMS GEOMETRY" will be considered for presentation at 2nd International Conference on Education and Technology 2021 if the following revisions are successfully implemented.

Thank you and looking forward to your participation in this event.

Dr. Tantri Mayasari Universitas PGRI Madiun bu_tantri@yahoo.co.id

International Conference on Education and Technology 2021 http://icetech.unipma.ac.id/index.php/icetech2021/icetech2021/login



75-158-1-RV.docx 1.1MB



wiwin stkipjb <wiwin2> Sen, 2 Agu 2021 jam 13.21 Kepada: btlia@rocketmail.com

Tampilkan pesan asli



75-158-1-RV.docx 1.1MB



Admin_ocs

informasi@unipma.ac.id + Tambah ke kontak

IKLAN AD

Temukan pesan, dokumen, foto, atau orang [Lanjutan](#) ▾



Awal

Tulis

← Kembali ↶ ↷ ➔ Arsipkan 📁 Pindahkan 📁 Hapus 🗑️ 📧 📁 📧 ? ⚙️

Email Masuk 375
Belum Dibaca
Berbintang
Draft 168
Terkirim
Arsip
Spam
Sampah
^ Lebih sedikit

Tampilan Sembunyikan

📷 Foto
📄 Dokumen
📧 Langganan

Folder Sembunyikan

+ Folder Baru

-Boxbe Waiting ... 35
BP kelas B 7
BP2015A 4
BPKelas A
Evaluasi 2015C
Evaluasi 2015D 1
Evaluasi 2018
FACEBOOK
fb 2,8 rb
MATRIKS
PELATIHAN
Perencanaan 2014 B
Perencanaan 2014 C
STKIP
tanpa nama
UAS PERANGKAT... 9
UM 2012B
Unwanted
WORKSHOP

• Fwd: LoA and information from Yahoo/Email M... ☆
ICETECH

 • **wiwin stkipjb** <wiwin2...> 📧 🔒 Sel, 28 Sep 2021 jam 04.43 ☆
Kepada:
btlia@rocketmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----
From: **ICETECH UNIPMA 2021** <icetech@unipma.ac.id>
Date: Mon, Sep 27, 2021, 23:01
Subject: LoA and information from ICETECH
To: <wiwin25.stkipjb@gmail.com>, <sinuslegowo@gmail.com>

Dear Author(s):

Congratulations on the acceptance of your full paper, to be published at Atlantis Press Conference Proceeding.

Kindly check the attached files:

1. LoA
2. Authors letter agreement
3. Plagiarism review

The author (s) whose paper is accepted has to

1. make the payment for indexing fee no later than September 30th 2021 (kindly check the LoA)
2. Upload the paper revision and Author's letter agreement to <http://icetech.unipma.ac.id/> and click menu "upload revision paper or click this link : <https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSctQJS-wNRHx38WMDRAQcMyX8SiGf4vYMQUHZpSMg49mZPqyQ/viewform>

Sincerely

Program Chair

📄 Unduh semua lampiran sebagai file zip



loa_75_wiwi... .pdf
528.9kB



Agreement....docx
56.3kB



REVISED_75... .pdf
2.1MB



Wiwin Stkipjb 🔍

wiwin25.stkipjb@gmail.com
+ Tambah ke kontak

IKLAN

AD

[Balas](#), [Balas ke Semua](#) atau [Teruskan](#)

Temukan pesan, dokumen, foto, atau orang Lanjutan v



Awal

Tulis

Kembali Arsipkan Pindahkan Hapus



- Email Masuk 375
- Belum Dibaca
- Berbintang
- Draft 168
- Terkirim
- Arsip
- Spam
- Sampah
- ^ Lebih sedikit
- Tampilan Sembunyikan
- Foto
- Dokumen
- Langganan
- Folder Sembunyikan
- + Folder Baru
- Boxbe Waiting ... 35
- BP kelas B 7
- BP2015A 4
- BPkelas A
- Evaluasi 2015C
- Evaluasi 2015D 1
- Evaluasi 2018
- FACEBOOK
- fb 2,8 rb
- MATRIKS
- PELATIHAN
- Perencanaan 2014 B
- Perencanaan 2014 C
- STKIP
- tanpa nama
- UAS PERANGKAT... 9
- UM 2012B
- Unwanted
- WORKSHOP

Payment Receipt Yahoo/Email M... ☆

admin_ocs <informasi@u...> Kepada: btlia@rocketmail.com Sel, 12 Okt 2021 jam 20.22 ☆

Dear authors,
Here we are providing invoice due to payment confirmation of ICETECH 2021 conference. We do appreciate your cooperation and participation.
Kind regards,



ID-76.pdf 177.7kB



Balas, Balas ke Semua atau Teruskan

Kirim



Admin_ocs

informasi@unipma.ac.id + Tambah ke kontak

IKLAN AD

The Thinking Process Of Class IX Students Of Junior High School In Solving Problems Geometry

Wiwin Sri Hidayati¹, Nurwiani², Faridatul Masruroh³, Jauhara Dian Nurul Iffah⁴,
Syarifatul Mafulah⁵, Lia Budi Trisanti^{6*}

¹⁻⁶STKIP PGRI JOMBANG

*Corresponding author. Email: btlia@rocketmail.com

Comment [A1]: Pakai tanda (,)

Comment [A2]: if possible, use affiliation email

Comment [A3]: please remove space between word and point such as:

think .
structure .
etc.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of learning mathematics emphasizes the ability of students to think. This article describes the thinking process of class IX junior high school students in solving mathematical problems, especially the material of spatial structure. Researchers describe 2 types of thinking processes, namely numerical thinking and realistic thinking. The researcher uses a qualitative approach in describing the subject's thought process. The main instrument of this research is the researcher himself, while there are 3 (three) kinds of auxiliary instruments, namely: math problems, interview guidelines and video recording. Stage an analysis of the data that carried out in this research are: transcribing data; me the reduction of the data; encode data; checking data validity or data triangulation; reviewing data; interpret findings; validate findings; draw conclusions. The results showed that p roses think **Su bjek** numerical thinking is me assemble the corresponding number in the calculation. While the thinking process, the subject of realistic thinking assembles numbers that are in accordance with calculations and the real form of a shape.

Keywords: *Thinking, Spatial Problems, Numerical Thinking, Realistic Thinking*

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of learning mathematics as stated in [1] emphasizes students' ability to think. Teachers must challenge and encourage students to develop their thinking skills. Learning activities carried out by students through discussing ideas, strategies, and solutions in solving problems are expected to be able to develop their thinking skills.

The thought process of a person has received a lot of attention from research experts. This can be seen from the many learning programs that offer the development of students' thinking processes such as UCLES [2]; Thinking-Based Learning (TBL) [3]; Heuristic Instruction in Mathematics [4]; Infusing Critical and Creative Thinking into Content Instruction (ICCT) [5]. In Indonesia, many experts offer programs to develop students' thinking skills, including [6] [7] [8]. Through optimizing each problem solving activity in mathematics learning classes, it can develop students'

thinking skills [6]. There is a revitalization of meaningful learning developed through the Teacher Quality Improvement program (TEQIP), where one of the goals is to develop the role of teachers in triggering students to always think so that the development of student schemes will increase [7] [8].

In addition to offering learning programs to develop students' thinking processes, many experts pay attention to the development of thinking processes throughout a person's life span. Jean Piaget from Switzerland was the first to pioneer the theory of cognitive development, namely the sensory-motor, pre-operational, concrete operational and formal operational periods. Furthermore, Jean Piaget's theory was developed by **Vigotsky**, in Vygotsky's theory of cognitive development that children are shaped by the cultural context in which they live [9]. In addition, Dina and Pierre van Hiele who developed a theory of the development of individual thought processes in geometric thinking [10], [11] [12] developed a theory of

thinking known as the three worlds of mathematics (conceptual embodied, **proceptual** symbolic, formal axiomatic) which describes a person traveling through different worlds when constructing a concept.

Thinking ability must be possessed by every student in studying mathematics. Students who have the ability to think can come up with ideas in solving mathematical problems. Thinking activities require a problem solver at every step in solving problems. He must think in interpreting the problem [13] [14] [15], selecting the strategy to be used and implementing it, so that an appropriate solution is obtained [16].

The thinking skills that must exist in solving problems and making decisions include generating ideas, clarifying ideas and evaluating the reasonableness of ideas [17]. First, the problem solver must generate various ideas that are varied and new ideas in solving problems. Second, he must explain each idea that is generated and compare taking into account the similarities and differences. Third, he must evaluate the reasonableness of the idea by considering the accuracy of the observations and sources, so that he can decide which ideas to use in solving the problem.

Mathematical problems are divided into two kinds, namely the problem of finding (to find) and the problem of proving (to prove) [18]. Elementary school students are more appropriate to solve the problem type of problem finding. While the problem proves more appropriate for students who study advanced mathematics at university. Therefore, in this study using the problem of finding because it is in accordance with the research subject, namely the IX grade junior high school students.

Junior high school students in grade IX were chosen in this study because based on Piaget's theory of cognitive development [19] they were in the formal operational stage. Junior high school students of class IX have been able to think abstractly with menggunakan certain symbols, can use rules-aturan formal logic, so that they can meningkatkan an analytical skills, ability to develop a possibility based on two or more possibilities, ability to attract generalist ation and inference of various objects.

One of the topics of learning Mathematics is Geometry. In Geometry, students study points, lines, planes, shapes and their properties, sizes, and relationships with each other [20]. Geometry studies are concerned with two-dimensional and three-dimensional shapes. Build three dimensions including blocks, cubes, spheres, tubes, prisms, and pyramids. So studying geometry requires students to create the concepts that are in their minds in determining the position and size of an object in three-dimensional shapes and the shape of the space.

The researcher conducted a preliminary study to see the thinking processes of class IX junior high school students in solving spatial problems related to the volume of building materials. Where students are asked to make a design with a volume of 250 ml. The results of this preliminary study indicate that there are two patterns in solving the problem. The first pattern, the student determines the size of a shape whose volume is 250 ml, but he does not consider the existence of a shape with that size. The second pattern, students determine the size of a building whose volume is 250 ml and consider the rationality of its size by drawing a shape according to its size. Henceforth, the first pattern is called numerical thinking, while the second pattern is called realistic thinking.

In this article will be studied further truth of the two patterns together with a description of the Junior Class IX student thinking in solving mathematical problems. Researchers used the theory of [17] in describing students' thinking processes. It aims to get a description of the stages or phases that students go through in carrying out a mental activity when solving problems. The description of the thinking process can be used by educators as a discourse in planning the learning process to develop students' thinking skills.

2. METHOD

2.1 Subject

The subject of the research was the IX grade junior high school students. The reason for choosing the subject is that students have learned the concept of spatial structure and are at the formal operational stage. So that they have the ability to solve the given problem.

2.2 Procedure

First, 20 students were given a math problem and asked to as much as possible express everything that was thought by voicing (*think aloud*) during the problem solving process. In the second stage, the researcher randomly assigned 2 students with *numeric thinking* and *realistic thinking* to become research subjects. Next, the researcher conducted task-based interviews. Broadly speaking, interviews were conducted to find out what the subject was thinking when concluding something and taking a step. Questions on the subject of "How do you Memi kirkan this?" or "what are you thinking right now?" Questions are also raised for me ng getahui reason the subject when using the step thinking.

2.3 Instrument

There are two kinds of instruments in this study, namely the main instrument and the auxiliary instrument. The main instrument is the researcher himself, while there are 3 (three) kinds of auxiliary instruments, namely: math problems, interview guidelines and video *recording*. This math problem consists of 1 *essay* question (description). The mathematical problem a is adapted from [21]. The mathematical problem can be seen in Figure 1 below.

Comment [A4]: Sesuaikan dengan template

Comment [A5]: make a table to present characteristics of the subject research, such as number of subject, mean age, range age, school background, etc.

Comment [A6]: Sesuaikan dengan template

Comment [A7]: Sesuaikan dengan template



Figure 1. Math Problem

2.4 Data analysis

The data obtained from the think aloud process and interviews were transcribed and analyzed. Researchers conducted data analysis by following three stages of qualitative data analysis activities from [22] and 6 stages of qualitative data analysis and interpretation from [23]. The stages of data analysis carried out in this study were : (1) transcribing the data; (2) me the reduction of the data ; (3) coding the data; (4) checking data validity or data triangulation; (5) reviewing the data; (6) interpret the findings ; (7) validate the findings; (8) draw conclusions

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The researcher involved 20 junior high school students, but only 15 students were able to design a soy juice drink. Table 1 shows all 20 students involved.

Table 2 Summary Explanation of Ideas Generated by SE

Generated Idea	How to determine Design	Size
Ball shaped design	Using the formula for the volume of a sphere and substituting the volume into the formula	$r = 6.91$ cm
Tubular design	Using the formula for the volume of a cylinder, suppose the value of r	$r = 7$ cm, $t = 1.3$ cm
		$r = 3.5$ cm, $t = 5.19$ cm
	Using the formula for the volume of a cylinder, suppose the value of t	$r = 3$ cm, $t = 7$ cm $r = 2.13$ cm, $h = 14$ cm
Triangular Prism Shape	Using the volume formula for a triangular prism, assume the value of t and the area of the base. Adjusting the	$t = 10$ cm, $p = 5$ cm, $l = 8$ cm
		$t = 10$ cm, $p = 10$ cm, $l = 4$ cm

Table 1 Types of Thinking of Middle School Students Class IX

No	Name	Thinking Type
1	AL	Realistic thinking
2	AN	Numerical thinking
3	BA G	-
4	BAM	-
5	CHU	Numerical thinking
6	DE	Numerical thinking
7	ERL	Realistic thinking
8	ERN	Numerical thinking
9	EV	-
10	FI	Numerical thinking
11	FR	Numerical thinking
12	GI	-
13	HA	Realistic thinking
14	HE	Numerical thinking
15	RU	Numerical thinking
16	SA	-
17	SE	Realistic thinking
18	TO	Numerical thinking
19	TOP	-
20	WA	-

Note: the sign " - " states that students are not completing math problems right

The following are the results of the research and discussion

3.1 Se (Numeric Thinking)

SE generates various ideas in solving mathematical problems. The idea that SE generated in the packaging design was made in the form of shapes other than blocks, namely balls, tubes and prisms. SE revealed that each of these shapes (except circles) can be made in various sizes, but the volume is the same, namely 200ml. Figure 2 shows Sedy's written results .

kemasan dalam bentuk balok, paman ingin kemasan yang menarik jadi kesemuanya kemasannya tetap dalam bentuk balok, kemasan bisa dalam bentuk bola, tabung, prisma dll. Kalau bola mungkin hanya satu ukuran saja. Tapi kalau tabung dan prisma bisa jadi ukurannya banyak.

Figure 2 SE Written Results when Generating Ideas

SE describes each idea that is generated. Table 2 shows his explanation.

Comment [A10]: Sesuaikan dengan templat

Comment [A9]: how to decide this thinking type? please make description about it. also, give the indicator of each possible type.

Comment [A8]: Sesuaikan dengan templat

Comment [A11]: Sesuaikan dengan templat

Design	values of a and l with the area of a triangular base	$t = 8 \text{ cm}, p = 5 \text{ cm}, l = 10 \text{ cm}$
		$t = 8 \text{ cm}, p = 25 \text{ cm}, l = 2 \text{ cm}$
pentagonal prism shaped design	Using the volume formula for a triangular prism, assume the value of t and the area of the base. Adjusting the values of a and l with the area of a triangular base	$t = 10 \text{ cm}, p = 5 \text{ cm}, l = 8 \text{ cm}$
		$t = 10 \text{ cm}, p = 10 \text{ cm}, l = 4 \text{ cm}$
		$t = 8 \text{ cm}, p = 5 \text{ cm}, l = 10 \text{ cm}$
		$t = 8 \text{ cm}, p = 25 \text{ cm}, l = 2 \text{ cm}$

SE evaluates the reasonableness of the idea by considering the accuracy of the size of each design. he substituted each of these measurements into the formula for the volume of a space object. So he can classify designs whose volume is exactly 200ml, designs whose volume is less than 200ml and designs whose volume is more than 200ml. Figure 3 shows SE written answer.

4) Prisma Segitiga
 $V \text{ prisma segitiga} = L \text{ alas} \times t \text{ tinggi}$
 a) $t \text{ tinggi} = 10 \text{ cm}$
 $L \text{ alas} = 20 \text{ cm}^2$
 Alas prisma terdapat dari 5 segitiga beraturan.
 jadi masing-masing segitiga mempunyai 4 cm^2 .
 $a = 4 \text{ cm}, t = 2 \text{ cm}$
 $a = 2 \text{ cm}, t = 4 \text{ cm}$
 $a = 8 \text{ cm}, t = 1 \text{ cm}$
 $a = 1 \text{ cm}, t = 8 \text{ cm}$
 b) $t \text{ tinggi} = 4 \text{ cm}$
 $L \text{ alas} = 50 \text{ cm}^2$
 Dengan masing-masing luas segitiga $= 10 \text{ cm}^2$
 $a = 5 \text{ cm}, t = 4 \text{ cm}$
 $a = 4 \text{ cm}, t = 5 \text{ cm}$
 $a = 2 \text{ cm}, t = 10 \text{ cm}$
 $a = 10 \text{ cm}, t = 2 \text{ cm}$
 c) $t \text{ tinggi} = 8 \text{ cm}$
 $L \text{ alas} = 25 \text{ cm}^2$
 Dengan masing-masing luas segitiga $= 5 \text{ cm}^2$
 $a = 2 \text{ cm}, t = 10 \text{ cm}$
 $a = 10 \text{ cm}, t = 2 \text{ cm}$
 1) Bola
 $200 \text{ ml} = 200 \text{ cm}^3$
 $V \text{ bola} = \frac{4}{3} \pi r^2$
 $200 = \frac{4}{3} \cdot 22 \cdot r^2$
 $200 = \frac{88}{3} \cdot r^2$
 $r^2 = 200 \cdot \frac{3}{88}$
 $r^2 = 47,7$
 $r = \sqrt{47,7}$
 $r = 6,91 \text{ cm} \rightarrow V = 199,9 \text{ cm}^3$
 2) Tabung
 $V \text{ tabung} = \pi r^2 t$
 $200 = \frac{22}{7} r^2 t$
 a) Misal $r = 7 \text{ cm}$
 $200 = \frac{22}{7} \cdot 7 \cdot 7 \cdot t$
 $200 = 154 t$
 $t = 1,3 \text{ cm} \rightarrow 200,2 \text{ cm}^3$
 b) Misal $r = 3,5 \text{ cm}$
 $200 = \frac{22}{7} \cdot 3,5 \cdot 3,5 \cdot t$
 $200 = \frac{22}{7} \cdot 12,25 \cdot t$
 $t = 200 \cdot \frac{7}{269,5}$
 $t = 5,19 \text{ cm} \Rightarrow V = 199,63 \text{ cm}^3$
 c) Misal $t = 7 \text{ cm}$
 $200 = \frac{22}{7} r^2 \cdot 7$
 $r^2 = 9,1$
 $r = 3 \text{ cm} \Rightarrow V = 197,0 \text{ cm}^3$
 3) Prisma Segitiga
 a) $t = 10 \text{ cm}$
 $L \text{ alas} = 20 \text{ cm}^2$
 $a = 5 \text{ cm}, t = 8 \text{ cm}$
 $a = 10 \text{ cm}, t = 4 \text{ cm}$
 $a = 4 \text{ cm}, t = 10 \text{ cm}$
 b) $t = 8 \text{ cm}$
 $L \text{ alas} = 25 \text{ cm}^2$
 $a = 5 \text{ cm}, t = 10 \text{ cm}$
 $a = 10 \text{ cm}, t = 5 \text{ cm}$
 $a = 25 \text{ cm}, t = 2 \text{ cm}$
 $a = 2 \text{ cm}, t = 25 \text{ cm}$

Figure 3 SE Written Results when Explaining and Evaluating the Fairness of Ideas

SE chose a cylindrical design with a size of $r = 3 \text{ cm}, t = 7 \text{ cm}$, all designs are triangular prisms, pentagons and hexagons. The reason SE chose this design is because the volume is exactly 200 ml. Figure 4 shows Se's written answer.

Comment [A12]: 200 ml

Jadi desain yang di pilih adalah prisma, segitiga dan prisma segitiga karena V nya tepat 200 cm^3 atau ml .

Figure 4 SE Written Results when Deciding which Idea to Use

2. AL (Realistic Thinking)

AL generates various ideas in solving mathematical problems. The idea he brought up in the packaging design was made in the form of a shape other than a block but the volume was the same, namely 200ml. Figure 5 shows the answers written AL.

Kemasan itu tidak dalam bentuk balok yang volumenya $200 \text{ ml} = 200 \text{ cm}^3$

Figure 5 AL Written Results when Generating Ideas

AL explains every idea raised. Table 3 shows an explanation of the idea.

Table 3 Summary Explanation of the Ideas Generated by AL

Generated Idea	How to determine Design	Size
Tubular design	Using the formula for the volume of a cylinder, suppose the value of r , so that the value of t is obtained. Make a sketch of the tube according to a predetermined size.	$r = 7 \text{ cm}, t = 1.3 \text{ cm}$
		$r = 3.5 \text{ cm}, t = 5.2 \text{ cm}$
Triangular Prism Shape Design	Using the volume formula for a triangular prism, assume the value of t and the area of the base. Adjust the values of a and l to the area of the triangular base. Sketch a triangular prism according to a predetermined size.	$t = 10 \text{ cm}, p = 5 \text{ cm}, l = 8 \text{ cm}$
pentagonal prism shaped design	Using the volume formula for a triangular prism, assume the value of t and the area of the base. Adjust the values of a and l with the area of the base that is triangular. Make a sketch of a pentagon prism according to a predetermined size.	$t = 10 \text{ cm}, p = 5 \text{ cm}, l = 8 \text{ cm}$
		$t = 8 \text{ cm}, p = 5 \text{ cm}, l = 10 \text{ cm}$
Hexagon prism shaped design	Suppose the size of the sides in an equilateral triangle and use the formula for the volume of a hexagon prism in determining the height of the prism. Sketch a hexagon prism according to a predetermined size.	$t = 19.61 \text{ cm}, p = 2 \text{ cm}, l = 2 \text{ cm}$
		$t = 8.55 \text{ cm}, p = 3 \text{ cm}, l = 3 \text{ cm}$
		$h = 4.8 \text{ cm}, p = 4 \text{ cm}, l = 4 \text{ cm}$

When explaining the idea, AL also considered the existence of the design. So AL also excludes ideas that are not appropriate even though the volume is 200ml. The design with AL included is a cylindrical design with a size of $r = 7 \text{ cm}, t = 1.3 \text{ cm}$. Figure 6 shows the results written AL




Figure 6 AL Written Results when Explaining ideas

AL evaluates the reasonableness of the idea by considering the accuracy of the size of each design. I a substituting each of these measurements into the formula for the volume of a space object. So it can classify designs whose volume is exactly 200ml, designs whose volume is less than 200ml and designs whose volume is more than 200ml. Figure 7 shows the result written AL

Handwritten mathematical work showing calculations for different prism designs. It includes formulas for volume and area, and numerical results for various dimensions.

1. d_1 alas empat persegi panjang, tinggi = 1.3
 $= \sqrt{3^2 - 1^2} = \sqrt{8} = 2.828$
 $= 1.7 \text{ cm}$

Volume prisma = L alas \times t
 $200 = 6 \cdot (3 \cdot 2.828) \cdot t$
 $t = \frac{200}{50.124} = 3.99$
 $t = 3.99$

2. d_2 alas persegi panjang, tinggi = 1.3
 $= \sqrt{3^2 - 1^2} = \sqrt{8} = 2.828$
 $= 2.6$

Volume prisma = L alas \times t
 $200 = 6 \cdot (3 \cdot 2.6) \cdot t$
 $t = \frac{200}{46.8} = 4.27$
 $t = 4.27$

3. d_3 alas empat persegi panjang, tinggi = 1.3
 $= \sqrt{3^2 - 1^2} = \sqrt{8} = 2.828$
 $= 3.46$

Volume prisma = L alas \times t
 $200 = 6 \cdot (3 \cdot 3.46) \cdot t$
 $t = \frac{200}{62.28} = 3.21$
 $t = 3.21$

Figure 7 AL Written Results when Explaining and Evaluating the Fairness of Ideas

AL chose a design in the form of a hexagon prism with a size of $t = 19.61 \text{ cm}, p = 2 \text{ cm}, l = 2 \text{ cm}$. The reason AL chose this design was because the volume was close to 200 ml, which is 200.02 ml. Figure 8 shows the result written AL




Figure 8 AL Written Results when Deciding on the Idea to Use

Based on the research data, researchers can see that there are 2 types of thinking from junior high school students. The characteristics of the two types are shown in Table 4 .

Table 4 Characteristics of Thinking

Thinking Type	Characteristics
Numerical thinking	The subject shows a series of numbers that correspond to calculations
Realistic thinking	The subject shows a series of numbers that correspond to calculations and the real form of a shape

The characteristics of student thinking in Table 4 show their differences. Students who numeric thinking tends to describe what he experienced, interpret the problem from memory and try to imitate the way that has been observed. He try to select the numbers for the height and area of the base of a geometrical volume of 200ml. Therefore, according to [24], the thinking characteristics of these students are characteristics of thinking at the recall stage . In addition, he also uses his reasoning by using multiplication and addition operations. Therefore, according to [24], the thinking characteristics of these students are characteristics of thinking at the basic stage.

Students who realistic thinking tends to analyze the problem, determine the adequacy of the data to solve the problem and decide the need for additional data to solve problems and determine kevalidatan conclusion. This can be seen from the thinking of students who not only determine the size of a shape with a volume of 200 ml, but also think about the presence or absence of a shape with that size. Therefore, the thinking characteristics of these students according to [24] are characteristics of thinking at the creative stage.

Table 5 Comparative Thinking

Stages of Thinking (Krulik , Rudnick, & Milou, 2003)	Thinking Type
Creative	Realistic thinking
Critical	
Basic	Numerical thinking
Recall	

The students' thinking types in table 2 can be compared with the Thinking Stages according to [24] as in Table 5

4. CONCLUSION

Thinking process of students numeric thinking at this stage is generate ideas it generates ideas that vary in solving mathematical problems. The idea that he generated in the packaging design was made in the form of shapes other than blocks, namely balls, tubes and prisms. He revealed that each of these shapes (except circles) can be made in various sizes, but the volume is the same, namely 200ml. At the stage of clarifying

ideas, he explained each idea that was generated by describing the size of each shape. At the stage of evaluating the reasonableness of the idea, he considers the accuracy of the size of each design. I a substituting each of these measurements into the formula for the volume of a space object. So it can classify designs whose volume is exactly 200ml, designs whose volume is less than 200ml and designs whose volume is more than 200ml. He chose a tube-shaped design with a size of $r = 3$ cm, $t = 7$ cm, all designs are triangular prisms, pentagons and hexagons because the volume is exactly 200 ml.

The student's thinking process is realistic thinking , at the stage of generating ideas is that it generates various ideas that vary in solving mathematical problems. The idea he came up with in the packaging design was made in the form of a shape other than blocks but the volume was the same, namely 200ml. At the stage of clarifying ideas, he explained each idea that was generated by describing the actual size and shape of each shape. At the stage of evaluating the reasonableness of the idea, he considers the accuracy of the size of each design. In addition it also determines the surface area of each shape. So it can classify designs that have an exact volume of 200ml, designs that have a volume of less than 200ml, designs that have a volume of more than 200ml and designs that require minimal size packaging materials. He chose a design whose volume was close to 200ml.

REFERENCES

- [1] Permendikbud No 21 Tahun 2016 *tentang Standar Isi Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah*.
- [2] Fisher, A. 2005. *'Thinking Skills' and Admission to Higher Education*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [3] Swartz, R. 2013. Infusing Instruction in Thinking into Content Instruction: What do We Know about It's Success. *Education Perspectives*. Vol. 2, No.1. January 2013. ISSN 2279-1450. 1 – 26.
- [4] Schoenfeld, A. H. (1979). Explicit heuristic training as a variable in problem solving performance. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 10(3), 173 187.
- [5] Perkins, D. N., & Swartz, R. (1992). The nine basics of teaching thinking. In A. L. Costa, J. Bellanca, R. Fogarty (Eds.), *If minds matter: A foreword to the future* (Vol. 2, pp. 53-69). Palatine, Illinois: Skylight Publishing.
- [6] As'ari, A.R. 2014. Optimizing Problem Solving Activity For Teaching Mathematical Thinking. *Paper presented at International Seminar in Mathematics Education at UNISMA 2014*.
- [7] Subanji. 2013. *Pembelajaran Matematika Kreatif dan Inovatif*. Malang: Penerbit Universitas Negeri Malang (UM Press).
- [8] Subanji. 2014. TEQIP sebagai Wahana Mewujudkan Pembelajaran Bermakna dan Membangun Karakter Bangsa. *Makalah Pleno*

Comment [A13]: Sesuaikan dengan templat

Comment [A15]: present limitation and suggestion in this section

Comment [A14]: Sesuaikan dengan templat

Seminar Nasional Exchange of Experiences TEQIP 2014. Universitas Negeri Malang.

- [9] Santrock, J.W. 2011. *Educational psychology*. McGraw-Hil: New York.
- [10] Mason, J & Johnston-Wilder, S. 2004. *Fundamental Constructs in Mathematics Education*. London: RoutledgeFalmer, 11 New Fetter Lane.
- [11] Tall, D. 2004. Introducing Three Worlds of Mathematics. *For the Learning of Mathematics*, 23 (3). 29–33.
- [12] Tall, D. 2008. The Transition to Formal Thinking in Mathematics. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 20 (2), 5-24
- [13] Trisanti, L.B. 2016. *Proses Berpikir Mahasiswa dalam Menyusun Argumen Matematis saat Menyelidiki Kebenaran Pernyataan Matematika*. Disertasi tidak diterbitkan. Malang: PPs UM.
- [14] Trisanti, L. B., Sutawidjaja, A., As'ari, A. R., & Muksar, M. (2015). Modelling student mathematical argumentation with structural-intuitive and deductive warrant to solve mathematics problem. *Proceeding of ICERD*, 130-139.
- [15] Trisanti, L.B., Sutawidjaja, A., As'ari, A.R., & Muksar, M. (2016). The Construction of Deductive Warrant Derived from Inductive Warrant in Preservice-Teacher Mathematical Argumentations. *Educational Research and Reviews*. 11(17),1696-1708
- [16] Trisanti, L. B. 2019. The process of thinking by prospective teachers of mathematics in making arguments. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 13(1), 17-24.
- [17] Swartz, R.J., Fisher, S.D. & Park, S. 1998. *Infusing the Teaching of Critical and Crative Thinking into Secondary Science*. United State of America.
- [18] Polya, G. 1973. *How to Solve it*, 2nd ed. Amerika: Princeton University Press. ISBN 0-691-08097-6.
- [19] Slavin, R. E. 2006. *Educational Psychology: Theory and Practice*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon
- [20] Ismadji, D. 1993. *Geometri Ruang*. Jakarta: Depdikbud
- [21] Fitri, Y. 2012. *Pemahaman Siswa dalam Memecahkan Open-ended Problem Pictures Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Matematika*. Tesis. Surabaya: Unesa
- [22] Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. 1992. *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif*. Jakarta: UI Press.
- [23] Creswell, W.J. 2012. *Educational Research Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research 4th Edition*. Boston: Pearson Education.
- [24] Krulik, S., Rudnick, J. A., & Milou, E. 2003. *Teaching mathematics in middle school: A practical guide*. Allyn and Bacon.



**Committee of The 2nd International Conference on
Education and Technology (ICETECH) 2021
UNIVERSITAS PGRI MADIUN**

Jln. Setiabudi 85 Madiun 63118 Telp (0351) 462986, Fax. (0351) 459400
Website: icetech.unipma.ac.id Email: icetechunipma@gmail.com

Madiun, 14 September 2021

LETTER ACCEPTANCE

**Dear Wiwin Sri Hidayati, Nurwiani, Faridatul Masruroh, Jauhara Dian Nurul Iffah,
Syarifatul Maf'ulah, Lia Budi Trisanti**

Paper Number : 75
Date Accepted :
Paper Title : THINKING PROCESS OF CLASS IX JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL
STUDENTS IN SOLVING PROBLEMS GEOMETRY
Authors : Wiwin Sri Hidayati, Nurwiani, Faridatul Masruroh, Jauhara Dian Nurul
Iffah, Syarifatul Maf'ulah, Lia Budi Trisanti

Congratulations!

We are pleased to inform that your article has been accepted and recommended for publication in the Atlantis Press Proceeding.

Then, upload the paper revision and author's letter agreement to <http://icetech.unipma.ac.id/> and click menu "upload revision paper or click this link: <https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSctQJS-wNRHx38WMDRAQcMyX8SiGf4vYMQUHZpSMg49mZPqyQ/viewform> before 30 September 2021.

In addition, as the paper quality requirements, please ensure your full paper(s) has/have fulfilled several things as follows:

1. Ensure that the references used have hyperlink at the web, and please use the references which having DOI (digital object identifier), so it is advised very much to use journals as references at least 8 journals.
2. Ensure the discussion on **Result and Discussion** must refers some recent research obtaining from several current journals, especially for the last seven years.
3. Ensure your writing is readable in English. Please proofread your article before submitting to us. In addition, this requirement is very urgent in the indexing acceptance.
4. Write your full paper appropriate to **Atlantis Press Proceeding Article Template** obtained in our official webpage.
5. Excluding references, **11%** is the maximum limit of **similarity index** based on Turnitin plagiarism checker.

Your Sincerely,

Dr. Marheny Lukitasari, M.Pd



Chairman of 2nd ICETECH 2021
icetech.unipma.ac.id