ICOMER 2022: New Submission Received. Contribution ID: 119

Dari: ICOMER 2022 Committees (conferences-noreply@ums.ac.id)

Kepada: btlia@rocketmail.com

Tanggal: Rabu, 20 Juli 2022 11.05 GMT+7

Dear Ms. Lia Budi Tristanti,

We have received your submission. Thank you.

Contribution Details
====================
ID   : 119
Title   : Students' Mathematical Argumentation Ability Based on Mathematical
Material and Semiotic Approach
Author(s): Lia Budi Tristanti, Toto Nusantara

Uploaded Files
==============
AIPCP_Article_Template.docx
Last Upload: 20/Jul/2022

With best regards,
Your ICOMER 2022 organizers.

--
ICOMER 2022
https://icomer.ums.ac.id/submit/

LoA ICOMER 2022

Dari: icomer@ums.ac.id

Kepada: btlia@rocketmail.com

Tanggal: Sabtu, 23 Juli 2022 20.24 GMT+7

Surakarta, July 22, 2022

Dear **Lia Budi Tristanti**

On behalf of the committee, we convey that your abstract of your article entitled "**Students' Mathematical Argumentation Ability Based on Mathematical Material and Semiotic Approach**" is accepted to be presented in the Second "International Conference on Mathematics and Learning Research (ICOMER)" at the Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta. Please note the next steps.

1. According to the template (AIP/Jramathedu/Barekeng/Silogisme/Regular proceedings see: https://icomer.ums.ac.id/2022/download/), we hope you can submit a full paper by https://icomer.ums.ac.id/2022/ no later than **August 13, 2022.**

2. Provide your file name with the format (AIP/Mathematics and Statistics/Jramathedu/Barekeng/Silogisme/Regular Proceeding)_(Number ID). For instance: **AIP Conference Proceedings_119**

3. Please make sure your paper has mathematical content.

4. Make a registration payment before **August 13, 2022**.

5. Upload proof of registration payment via https://icomer.ums.ac.id/2022/registration/ before **August 13, 2022**

It is an honour and privilege to invite you to participate in this conference as a speaker. We believe that your contribution to this Conference is exceptional.

We look forward to your positive confirmation

Sincerely,

Adi Nurcahyo, M. Pd
Conference Chair
Mathematics Education Dept
Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta – Indonesia

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1jvXPp-szBNLOQSjzWbzB4Iia8alxjoz_N6fa/view?usp=drivesdk

Form to Upload Proof of Payment

Dari: Google Formulir (forms-receipts-noreply@google.com)

Kepada: btlia@rocketmail.com

Tanggal: Kamis, 11 Agustus 2022 21.59 GMT+7

Google Forms

Terima kasih telah mengisi [Form to Upload Proof of Payment](#)

Berikut adalah tanggapan yang diterima.

Form to Upload Proof of Payment

Assalamu'alaikum Warahmatullahi Wabarakatuh

This form is used to upload proof of payment that you have made according to your registration category.

Upload proof of payment can be in the form of an image file or a PDF with a maximum file size of 10 MB

Note:
* if you have evidence of alumni of the Mathematics Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta you can also upload it.
** If you have any questions regarding payment, please contact the committee

Email *

btlia@rocketmail.com

Important Dates

1 July 13 August 2022	Full Paper Submission Deadline
18 July 27 August 2022	Notification of Full Paper Acceptance
13 August 1 September 2022	Submission of Revised Papers
2 August - 2 September 2022	Payment Date
14 September 2022	Conference Date

Registration Fees

	International	Domestic	UMS Alumni
Presenter	50 USD	400.000 IDR	350.000 IDR
Additional Presenter	40 USD	300.000 IDR	250.000 IDR
Non Presenter	5 USD	50.000 IDR	25.000 IDR
Additional Paper	30 USD	250.000 IDR	200.000 IDR

- Selected papers will be published by **AIP Conference Proceedings** with additional publication fee 2.500.000 IDR/200 USD
- Paper that is selected to be published in **Mathematics and Statistics** (Indexed Scopus) Journal will be charged 480 USD
- Paper that is selected to be published in **SINTA 2** Journal will be charged **1.000.000 IDR** and **SINTA 3** Journal will be charged **500.000 IDR**.

Name *

Lia Budi Tristanti

Institution, University, or Agency *

STKIP PGRI JOMBANG

Telephone Number *

08563366337

E-Mail *

btlia@rocketmail.com

Registration Criteria *

you may choose more than one criteria

- [ ] International Presenter Mathematics and Statistics (50 USD)

- [x] International Presenter AIP (50 USD)

- [ ] International Presenter JRAMathEdu (50 USD)

- [ ] International Presenter Barekeng (50 USD)

- [ ] International Presenter Silogisme (50 USD)

- [ ] International Presenter ISSN Proceedings (50 USD)

- [ ] Domestic Presenter Mathematics and Statistics (400.000 IDR)

- [ ] Domestic Presenter AIP (400.000 IDR)

- [ ] Domestic Presenter JRAMathEdu (400.000 IDR)

- [ ] Domestic Presenter Barekeng (400.000 IDR)

- [ ] Domestic Presenter Silogisme (400.000 IDR)

- [ ] Domestic Presenter ISSN Proceedings (400.000 IDR)

- [ ] Alumni Presenter Mathematics and Statistics (350.000 IDR)

- [ ] Alumni Presenter AIP (350.000 IDR)

- [ ] Alumni Presenter JRAMathEdu (350.000 IDR)

- [ ] Alumni Presenter Barekeng (350.000 IDR)

- [ ] Alumni Presenter Silogisme (350.000 IDR)

- [ ] Alumni Presenter ISSN Proceedings (350.000 IDR)

- [ ] International Non-Presenter (5 USD)

- [ ] Domestic Non-Presenter (50.000 IDR)

☐ Alumni Non-Presenter (25.000 IDR)

☐ International Additional Paper (30 USD)

☐ Domestic Additional Paper (250.000 IDR)

☐ Alumni Additional Paper (200.000 IDR)

☐ International Additional Presenter (30 USD)

☐ Domestic Additional Presenter (250.000 IDR)

☐ Alumni Additional Presenter (200.000 IDR)

Payment date *

HH   BB   TTTT

07 / 08 / 2022

Upload Proof of Payment Icomer Participant *

File yang dikirim

> 🖼 WhatsApp Image 2022-08-07 at 10.40.05 - LIA BUDI TRISTANTI.jpeg

---

Upload scan or copy alumni card (for alumni Mathematics Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta)

Tidak ada file yang dikirim

Upload proof of payment additional article (if the participant add article)

Tidak ada file yang dikirim

[Buat Google Formulir sendiri](#)

Laporkan Penyalahgunaan

Review Result

Dari: ICOMER 2022 (icomer@ums.ac.id)

Kepada: btlia@rocketmail.com

Tanggal: Selasa, 30 Agustus 2022 15.56 GMT+7

Dear Tristanti, Lia Budi,
We hope you are in good health,

Hereby we send the result of your article review,
please submit a revision of your article before 5 September 2022

thank you,

Regards,
Committee ICOMER

Icomer Contribution119.docx
2.8MB

Students' Mathematical Argumentation Ability Based on Mathematical Material and Semiotic Approach

Abstract. *This research aims to describe students' arguments in solving real analysis problems with a semiotic approach and describe students' arguments in solving spatial geometry problems with a semiotic approach. This study uses a qualitative method. The research subject was student teacher candidate STKIP PGRI Jombang Mathematics Education Study Program semester 4. Data collection techniques were carried out using the Proofing Problem Solving Task (TPMP) instrument, interview guide, and video recording. The research procedure included the subject being given a mathematical problem and asked to as much as possible express everything that was thought by voicing during the problem-solving process, the subject conveying the arguments that had been compiled to other fellow students in critical discussions, task-based interviews based on the results of written arguments. And verbal or subject responses. The stages of data analysis include data transcripts, data reduction; data code; checking data validity or data triangulation, reviewing data, interpreting findings, validating findings, and drawing conclusions. The results showed that the subject's mastery of mathematical material was used to build the content and quality of the argument. At the same time, the subject uses the semiotic approach to convey arguments in the form of speech, symbols, and gestures*

> **Comment [Ma1]:** Elaborate more about the findings

INTRODUCTION

This argument is needed to determine, generate and support reasonable solutions when solving a problem, especially a proof problem [1]–[8]. The arguer tries to show and ensure the correct view using an argument addressed to the audience. The arguer tries to convince the audience there is something to approach and debate.

> **Comment [Ma2]:** "This argument" refers to which argument?

Building an argument does not only link the premise and conclusion;Toulmin's scheme is used to obtain a more complex argument analysis [9]. The Toulmin scheme consists of data, claims, warrants, backings, disclaimers, and qualifiers. Data are facts that are used to support claims. A claim is a statement that will be shown to be true. The warrant is a hypothetical statement as a bridge and justification of steps. The backing provides further evidence, namely the legal basis for the warrant. Disclaimer is the exception condition to the argument, and the qualifier expresses the level of power that the data give to the claim by the warrant.

> **Formatted:** Highlight

Argumentation skills are needed when solving problems [10]. Students must have argumentation skills to justify their solutions and actions, leaving doubts and indecision in solving a problem. However, he is also more accessiblewhen choosing and can propose rational solutions.

At the tertiary level, students are more often faced with proving problems. Mathematical materials emphasise the ability to prove, including Algebra, Analysis, Spatial Geometry, and Calculus. The concepts of fundamental analysis and geometry of space are mathematical subjects studied at universities. Before studying the material, students must understand geometry, abstract algebra, number theory, and calculus before studying the material. Students have studied spatial geometry from elementary school to college. In contrast, the prerequisites for accurate analysis are studied mainly by students in higher education. In addition, the concept of fundamental analysis is more abstract than the geometry of space. Thus, research that focuses on Real Analysis and spatial geometry need to be carried out on an ongoing basis, including studying student arguments. In developing students' mathematical argumentation skills, educators need to pay attention to prerequisite material [11], [12]

> **Comment [Ma3]:** What is tertiary level? Please explain

Semiotics in mathematical arguments can be interpreted as the process of producing signs and symbols as part of a code system used to communicate information and show and ensure correct information. Semiotics include visual, verbal, tactile, and olfactory signs. Steinbring states that mathematical signs or symbols are tools for coding, describing, communicating, and generalising mathematical knowledge [13]. Therefore, semiotics is very appropriate to apply in mathematics [14] because understanding abstract mathematical operations and contexts are impossible without certain activities [15].

[14] and [16] refer to several studies that refer to semiotics. Ernest (2006) describes a semiotic system consisting of signs, sign production rules, and the underlying meaning structure in studying numbers, counting, and computation. [16] describes the integration of speech and gesture. While research on mathematical arguments, including [17] developed arguments and evidence for high school students under the guidance of a teacher, [18] described the argumentative process into four classifications, namely working exclusively through algebraic manipulation, brief exploration with examples and switching. In addition, to algebraic evidence, expanded exploration with examples that lead to reasoning about conjectures, and unfocused exploration with examples, [19] develop students' argumentation skills through infusion learning models. It appears that no previous research has discussed semiotics in argumentation.

Research on the semiotic approach in this argument is essential because semiotics have an important role in justification [20]. Argumentation is one of the justification processes used to show and ensure the correct view of arguments directed at oneself or others [12]. Through argument, someone tries to convince himself or others so that there is something to approach and argue to reach an agreement in justification. Thus, the objectives to be achieved in this study are: (1) to describe student arguments in solving real analysis problems with a semiotic approach; (2) to describe students' arguments in solving spatial geometry problems with a semiotic approach, (3) characterisation of students' argument skills based on mathematical material with a semiotic approach.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Math Material

One of the abstract and complex courses is in Real Analysis. In this course, students study Real Number Sequences, which contain definitions, theorems, and their proof use [21], [22]. Although abstract and highly complex, this material must be mastered by students to understand further concepts in Real Analysis, such as the number of infinite series [23]–[25].

The prerequisite material for the Real Analysis course includes abstract algebra, number theory, and calculus. These prerequisite materials are mainly studied at the university and high school levels. Meanwhile, students also have difficulty in learning this prerequisite material [26], [27]. As a result, students do not understand and develop the concept of Real Analysis.

In addition to real analysis, students also study spatial geometry. The geometry of space or three-dimensional geometry is a part of the geometry that discusses spatial or three-dimensional shapes [28], [29]. For example, building space can be defined as a shape not entirely located on the plane because it contains three elements: length, width, and height [29]. Three-dimensional geometry discusses objects that are abstract [28]. These objects are points, lines, planes, cubes, blocks, spheres, and so on, all of which are objects obtained through an abstraction process based on concrete objects found in everyday life [30], [31]. Thus, it is highly expected that educators and teachers in developing students' understanding of geometric concepts should be carried out by manipulating spatial objects or real objects (concrete experience).

The prerequisite material for the spatial geometry course includes flat plane geometry. These prerequisite materials are mostly studied at the university level, high school,junior high school, and elementary school. Students' difficulties in understanding the concept of Spatial Geometry are imagining spatial concepts, making illustrations or drawing a three-dimensional shape, and solving spatial geometry problems related to proof [32]–[36].

Semiotic Approach

Comment [Ma4]: Adjust the citation rule

Comment [Ma5]: Explain how it is important and explain the urgency of this study

Comment [Ma6]: There is no confirmation regarding semiotic components/representations used in this study

Semiotics is a term that Charles S. Peirce first used to designate a sign of an action or a sign of a process, in general, a sign of a sign activity [37]. Semiotics study sign systems, such as words, signals, and gestures. Semiotics related to the production of signs and symbols is part of the code system used to communicate information. Semiotic systems have three purposes: to show mathematical objects, communicate, and work on mathematical objects themselves [38]. Semiotics includes anything that has a relationship with a sign, starting from the sign being sent, received, functioning, and concerning something else [39].

The types of signs in mathematics education include embodiment, gestures, and body [40]. Embodiment theory originating from cognitive linguistics generally assumes a mediator, such as a schema that connects the mind with the real world, for example, the APOS theory, three worlds of mathematics. Gestures are arm and hand movements, usually in front of the body for symbolic expression [16]. Only the movements are considered communicative acts by the speaker and listener.

[16] classifies gestures as deictic, metadhroric, iconic, and beat. A deictic gesture is a gesture that points to an existing object. Metadhroric gestures refer to gestures whose contents represent abstract ideas without physical form. The iconic gesture is defined as a gesture that emphasises a similar relationship with the spoken semantic content. Finally, the beat gesture is a simple gesture that is repeated and used for emphasis.
Research methods

RESEARCH METHOD

The research subject is student teacher candidate STKIP PGRI Jombang Mathematics Education Study Program semester 4. The reason for choosing students in semester 4 is that students are studying the concept of spatial structure and real analysis. Students have also studied the prerequisite materials for spatial construction and real analysis: flat plane geometry, abstract algebra, calculus, and number theory. Students have also attended lectures using the Infusion Learning model. The learning model aims to develop students' argumentation skills based on the Toulmin scheme [12], [19] so that they have the provision ability to convey arguments by solving the problem of proof given.

> **Comment [Ma7]:** Namely…

Research procedures include: first, the subject was given a mathematical problem and asked to express all that was thought as much as possible by voicing (think aloud) during the problem-solving process. So we get the argument not in dialogue. In the second stage, the subject conveys the arguments compiled to fellow students in a critical discussion. Fellow students can respond byaccepting or supporting arguments to create a situation where the subject tries to convince his partner through an approach and debate. The process in the second stage obtained data arguments in the dialogue. In the third stage, conducted a task-based interview based on the results of written and oral arguments or the subject's response.

> **Comment [Ma8]:** Why did the result of this stage not appear in the result&discussion? There might be interesting findings in the critical discussion stage that can be used to strengthen the result&discussion section

> **Comment [Ma9]:** The script of interview can be written in the result section

The research instruments include Proofing Problem Solving Tasks (TPMP), interview guidelines, and video recording. TPMP and video recording are used to describe the subject argument. TPMP contains mathematical problems that contain the concept of spatial structure and real analysis. The subject solved the following questions:

Real Analysis
Prove that "if a, b are members of $\mathbb{R}$, then $a + x = b$ has a single solution $x = (-a) + b$" !
Space Geometry
Prove that the area of the section of the sphere $=2\pi rt$, where r is the sphere's radius and t is the height of the section!

Figure 1. Proof Problem Solving Task (TPMP)

The data obtained from the interview process and think-aloud were transcribed and analysed. Researchers followed three stages of qualitative data analysis activities from [41] and six stages of qualitative data analysis and interpretation based on Creswell's theory [42]. The stages of data analysis include data transcripts, data reduction;

data code; checking data validity or data triangulation, reviewing data, interpreting findings, validating findings, and drawing conclusions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The researchers involved 80 prospective mathematics education teacher students at a university in Jombang, East Java, Indonesia. The participants consisted of 38 men and 42 women. This research was conducted from April 5 to June 10, 2022. The researcher chose 1 participant as the subject, namely the participant who conveyed an argument according to the components of the Toulmin schema and showed expressions so that the semiotic approach could be observed.

Students' Arguments In Solving Real Analytical Problems With A Semiotic Approach

The subject conveys the data by saying that "a and b are elements of real numbers." The symbol used by the subject is "element ($\in$)," which means "member". The subject's gesture is the subject using the index finger, which leads to the sentence "a and b elements of real numbers." There is a match between the speech, symbols, and gestures of the subject when conveying data.

The subject conveys the claim by saying that "$a + x = b$ has a single solution, namely $x = (-a) + b$". The subject uses the sign "if...then... ($\rightarrow$)"; the sign is interpreted as the word "single solution." The subject's gestures appear when using a ballpoint pen to point at $x = (-a) + b$, and the eyes are not directed towards the sentence so that there is no match between symbols, speech, and gestures when the subject makes a claim. The following is a photo of the subject, when submitting a claim

the eyes are not directed towards the claim

The subject's using a ballpoint pen to point at $x = (-a) + b$

Figure 2 The subject conveys the claim

The subject conveys the warrant with words as written; namely, the subject proves that the solution of $a + x = b$ is $x = -a + b$, then shows that $x = -a + b$ is a single solution. The symbol used by the subject is to write a symbol of a mathematical formula that indicates the result of an algebraic operation. The subject guide includes the index finger indicating each step of problem-solving. There is a match between the speech, symbol, and gesture of the subject when conveying the warrant. However, the subject only conveys 1 warrant.

The subject conveys the backing with speech, namely algebraic operations, addition inverses, and identity elements. The symbol used by the subject is "brackets ()" which is defined as "the basis of the step". Subject gestures include using a ballpoint pen to indicate backing and underlining. There is a match between the speech,

symbol, and gesture of the subject when conveying the backing. Here's a photo of the subject when delivering the backing in Figure 3.


Figure 4 The subject conveys the qualifier and rebuttal

The subject conveys the qualifier and rebuttal simultaneously. The subject said that the qualifier of the conclusion was cerain, and there was no objection. The subject's gesture is with a firm facial expression, a head nod, and a hand wave indicating no objection as Figure 4. The symbol used is a sign of verification (checking) that is rare in solving the problem of proving that there is no refutation and it is correct.

Student Arguments In Solving Spatial Geometry Problems With A Semiotic Approach

The subject conveys the data by speech covering the area of the ball section $= \frac{1}{2}$ the area of the tube blanket, the area of the ball section $= \frac{1}{2}$ the area of the ball. The subject reveals the known data on the question, and the data is associated with the knowledge possessed by the subject. The symbol used by the subject is to sketch an image representing the area of the sphere. The subject's gesture is to show a sketch of the image of the section with a marker. There is a match between speech, symbols, and gestures of the subject when conveying data. The following is a photo of the subject when submitting the data as Figure 5.

Figure 5 The subject shows the data by using a picture of a the ball section

The subject conveys the claim by saying, "area of the spherical section = 2πrt". The subject writes the symbol of a mathematical formula that represents equal to, the ball's radius and the segment's height. The subject's gestures appear when using a ballpoint pen to point at 2πrt. When the subject makes a claim, there is a match between symbols, speech and gestures.

the subject conveys the warrant by saying as written, namely the subject proving the area of the spherical segment = 2πrt through the area of the tube blanket, then proving the area of the spherical segment = 2πrt through the area of the half sphere. The subject guster includes the index finger indicating each step of problem solving. The symbol used by the subject is "equal to =" indicating algebraic equality. There is a match between the speech, symbol, and gesture of the subject when conveying the warrant. The subject conveys 2 warrants in his argument. The following is a photo of the subject when submitting the warrant as Figure 6.

The subject conveys backing with speech, namely algebraic operations, the similarity of the height of the tube blanket with the radius of the tube, the similarity of the radius of the ball with the height of the segments. Subject gestures include the use of a ballpoint pen to indicate the backing. The symbol used is "arrow " which shows the meaning of equal value. There is a match between the speech, symbols, and gestures of the subject when conveying the backing.

The subject conveys the qualifier and rebuttal which is dominated by speech and gesture. The subject said that the qualifier of the conclusion was certain and there was no objection. The subject's gesture is with a firm facial expression, and a nod of the head and a wave of the hand indicating no objection as Figure 7.

Figure 7 The subject conveys the qualifier and rebuttal

Based on the results of the description of mathematical arguments in proving space geometry problems and real analysis, it is obtained characterisation of students' argument skills based on mathematical material with a semiotic approach as shown Table 1.

Table 1 Characterisation of students' argument skills based on mathematical material with a semiotic approach

Argument Component	Semiotic Components in solving real analysis proof problems			Components of Semiotics in solving the problem of proving the geometry of space		
	Utterance	Symbol	Gesture	Utterance	Symbol	Gesture
Data	convey data based on what is known in the question	Write symbols that indicate members ($\in$) and equality (=)	Show data with finger expression	convey data based on what is known in the problem and relate the data to the knowledge possessed	sketch a picture that represents a group of balls	Show data with finger expression
Claim	submit a claim based on what is known to the question in spoken and written words	Write a symbol that signifies "if…then…. ($\rightarrow$)"	Showing claims with finger expressions but eyes that don't lead to claims	submit a claim by saying that "area of the spherical segment = $2\pi rt$," which is in accordance with the writing	write a mathematical formula symbol that represents the radius of the ball, and the height of the segments	Showing claim with finger expression
Warrant	delivery of speech as written, namely proving that	write a mathematical formula symbol that indicates the	an index finger that shows each step of problem-	Convey warrants with words as written. More than 1	Writing an "equal to =" sign indicates algebraic equality	Using the index finger to show each step of the problem

	the solution of a + x = b is x = -a + b, then showing that x = -a + b is a single solution	result of an algebraic operation	solving	warrant is submitted due to the results of linking between spatial shapes		solving
Backing	pronounce algebraic operations, addition inverses and identity elements in addition as written	Using the symbol "open and close parenthesis ()" which is interpreted as the basis for solving the proof problem	use a pen to show the backing and underline	convey backing with speech and writing, namely algebraic operations, the similarity of the height of the tube blanket with the radius of the circle, the similarity of the radius of the sphere with the height of the segments	Using the symbol "arrow," which shows the meaning of the same value	using a ballpoint pen to show the backing
Qualifier and Rebuttal	Submission of qualifier and rebuttal is based on the warrant used	a firm facial expression, and a nod of the head and a wave of the hand indicating no rebuttal based on the warrant used	Write down the verification marks (checks) that are rare in solving the problem, the proof is correct and there are no objections	Submission of qualifier and rebuttal is based on the warrant used	a firm facial expression, and a nod of the head and a wave of the hand indicating no rebuttal based on the warrant used	Write down the verification marks (checks) that are rare in solving the problem, the proof is correct and there are no objections

There are differences in the ability of mathematical arguments to solve real analysis problems and spatial geometry due to the character of the material. The subject has studied geometry material since elementary school [43] so that the subject can connect the interrelationships between shapes in geometry. In contrast, the subject began to study real analysis while in college.

The character of real analysis material is an abstract and complex concept. So that there is a discrepancy between the gesture and the subject's speech when determining the claim. This shows the subject's doubts in determining the claim. In addition, the subject conveys 1 warrant as a guarantee that the statement is true. The character of space geometry material is the relationship between shapes, so the subject uses this relationship in delivering 2 warrants.

Semiotic components in students' mathematical arguments include speech, symbols and gestures. The subject expresses the utterance in the argumentation process in the form of words or sentences both orally and writing. For example, the subject states the similarity between equation 1, equation 2, and equation 3 in the solution step by saying, "will be equal to both sides plus -*a*." While in spatial geometry, the subject stated the relationship between

Comment [Ma13]: Please explain in literature review or research method section about these semiotic components used in this study

the shapes by saying "the volume of the ball is equal to two-thirds of the volume of the tube when the diameter of the ball is the same as the diameter of the tube and the height of the tube".

Based on the study's results, it appears that the subject uses mathematical symbols to deliver arguments using the Toulmin schema component. Mathematical symbols are tools for coding, describing, and communicating mathematical knowledge [13]. Symbols that appear in students' mathematical arguments when solving real analyst proof problems are in the form of signs such as "=" "→" and "()". While the symbols used by the subject when solving the problem of proving the geometry of space are in the form of letter marks, for example "r" "t" "v" and sketch images. The subject uses familiar symbols whose meaning has been understood by the subject and the subject's friends as the audience.

Gestures in student arguments when solving proof problems are in the form of finger expressions, facial expressions, or eye gaze. The process of determining data, claims, warrants, and backing can be observed from the expression of the fingers or mimicking shown by the subject. The expression of the subject's finger movements changes every step of solving the proof problem. This type of gesture is deictic, meaning it points to an existing object [16].

Meanwhile, when determining the qualifier and rebuttal, the subject showed more assertive facial expressions, sharp eyes, nodded his head, and waved his hands as a sign that his argument was true and trustworthy, and there was no rebuttal. In this case, a beat gesture is a simple gesture that is repeated and used as an emphasis [16]. Gesture representation is an action or movement that describes an abstract and concrete idea, entity, or event that is conveyed in words [44]. Gestures emphasise more effectively than words [45]. Gestures have proven helpful in supporting learning in various content, including real analysis and spatial geometry.

CONCLUSION

The student's argument in solving real analysis problems with a semiotic approach is that the subject conveys data, claims, warrants, backing, and rebuttal with speech, symbols, and gestures using the index finger. The symbol used by the subject when conveying data is "element ($\in$)" which means "member". The subject conveys data based on what is known in the question. When submitting a claim, the subject uses the sign "if...then... ($\rightarrow$)", the sign is interpreted as the word "single solution", and the subject's gesture uses a ballpoint pen to point at $x = -a + b$ and the eyes do not point towards the claim so that there is no correspondence between symbols, speech nd gestures when the subject makes a claim. When submitting a warrant, the subject uses a mathematical formula symbol that indicates the result of an algebraic operation, the subject's guster includes the index finger indicating each step of solving the problem, but the subject only conveys 1 warrant. When conveying the backing, the symbol used by the subject is "brackets ()" which is interpreted as "the basis of the step" the subject's gesture includes the use of a ballpoint pen in showing the backing and underlining. The subject conveys the qualifier and rebuttal simultaneously, the subject's gesture is with a firm facial expression, and a nod of the head and a wave of the hand indicates there is no rebuttal, the symbol used is a sign of verification (checking) namely "$\sqrt{}$"

Students' arguments in solving spatial geometry problems with a semiotic approach are the subject conveys data, claims, warrants, backing, and rebuttal with speech, symbols, and gestures. The subject reveals the data that is known in the problem and the data is associated with the knowledge possessed by the subject, the symbol used by the subject is to sketch an image that represents the area of the sphere, the subject's gesture is to show a sketch of the group image with a marker. When making a claim, using a mathematical formula symbol representing "equal to, the radius of the ball, and the height of the segment", the subject's gesture appears when using a ballpoint pen to point. When conveying a warrant, the subject's gestures includes the index finger used to indicate each step of solving the problem, and the symbol used by the subject is "equal to =" indicating algebraic similarity. When conveying the backing, the subject's gesture includes using a ballpoint pen to indicate, the symbol used is the "arrow," which indicates the meaning of the similarity of values. When the subject conveys the qualifier and rebuttal, it is dominated by speech and gesture. The subject said that the qualifier of the conclusion was certain, and there was no objection. The subject's gesture is with a firm facial expression, a head nod, and a hand wave indicating no objection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

[1]   B. Cerbin, "The nature and development of informal reasoning skills in college students," *Pap. Present. Twelfth Natl. Inst. Issues Teach. Learn. "Teaching Crit. Think. Campus Pract. Emerg. Connect.*, 1988.

[2]   G. Krummheuer, "The Narrative Character of Argumentative Mathematics Classroom Interaction in Primary Education," *Eur. Res. Math. Educ.*, vol. Group 4, no. I, pp. 331–341, 1999.

[3]   J. Alexandre, M. Pilar, P. Munoz, and A. Cuadrado, "Expertise , Argumentation and Scientific Practice: a Case Study about Environmental Education in the 11 th Grade," 2000.

[4]   K.-L. Cho and D. H. Jonassen, "The effects of argumentation scaffolds on argumentation and problem solving," *Educ. Technol. Res. Dev.*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 5–22, 2002, doi: 10.1007/BF02505022.

[5]   C. Hoyles and D. Küchemann, "Students' understanding of logical implication," *Educ. Stud. Math.*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 193–223, 2002.

[6]   H. B. Verheij, "Rules, Reasons, Arguments, Formal Studies of Argumentation and Defeat," University of Maastricht, 1996.

[7]   D. Kuhn and W. Udell, "The Development of Argument Skills.," *Child Dev.*, vol. 74, no. 5, pp. 1245–60, 2003, doi: 10.1111/1467-8624.00605.

[8]   C. D. Rosita, "Meningkatkan kemampuan Argumentasi Matematis Melalui Pembelajaran CIRC," 2013.

[9]   S. Toulmin, "The uses of argument, Updated edition," 2003, doi: 10.2307/2183556.

[10]   L. B. Tristanti, A. Sutawidjaja, A. R. As'ari, and M. Muksar, "Types of Warrant in Mathematical Argumentations of Prospective-Teacher," *Int. J. Sci. Eng. Investig.*, vol. 6, no. 68, pp. 96–101, 2017.

[11]   L. B. Tristanti, "The Process Of Thinking By Prospective Teachers Of Mathematics In Making Arguments," *J. Educ. Learn.*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 17~24, 2019.

[12]   L. B. Tristanti and T. Nusantara, "Improving Students' Mathematical Argumentation Skill through Infusion Learning Strategy," in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2021, vol. 1783, no. 1, p. 012103, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1783/1/012103.

[13]   G. Botzer and M. Yerushalmy, "Embodied Semiotic Activities and Their Role in the Construction of Mathematical Meaning of Motion Graphs," *Int. J. Comput. Math. Learn.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 111–134, 2008.

[14]   P. Ernest, "A Semiotic Perspective Of Mathematical Activity: The Case Of Number," *Educ. Stud. Math.*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 67–101, 2006.

[15]   N. Tarasenkova, "The Quality Of Mathematical Education In The Context Of Semiotics," *Am. J. Educ. Res.*, vol. 1, no. 11, pp. 464–471, 2013.

[16]   D. McNeill, "Speech And Gesture Integration," *New Dir. Child Dev.*, vol. 79, pp. 11–28, 1998.

[17]   V. Durand-Guerrier, P. Boero, N. Douek, S. S. Epp, and D. Tanguay, "Argumentation And Proof In The Mathematics Classroom," in *Proof And Proving In Mathematics Education*, Dordrecht: Springer, 2012, pp. 349–367.

[18]   F. Morselli, "Use of examples in conjecturing and proving: An exploratory study. International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education," *Proc. 30th Conf. Int. Gr. Psychol. Math. Educ.*, vol. 4, pp. 185–192, 2006.

[19]   L. B. Tristanti and T. Nusantara, "The Influence of Infusion Learning Strategy on Students' Mathematical Argumentation Skill," *Int. J. Instr.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 277–292, 2022.

[20]   S. Inganah, "Proses Berpikir Aljabar dalam Menggeneralisasi Pola melalui Pendekatan Semiotik," Universitas Negeri Malang, 2015.

[21]   K. Weber, "Traditional Instruction In Advanced Mathematics Courses: A Case Study Of One Professor's Lectures And Proofs In An Introductory Real Analysis Course," *J. Math. Behav.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 115–133, 2004.

[22]   K. Lew, T. P. Fukawa-Connelly, J. P. Mejía-Ramos, and K. Weber, "Lectures In Advanced Mathematics: Why Students Might Not Understand What The Mathematics Professor Is Trying To Convey," *J. Res. Math. Educ.*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 162–198, 2016.

[23]   M. Oehrtman, C. Swinyard, and J. Martin, "Problems And Solutions In Students' Reinvention Of A Definition For Sequence Convergence," *J. Math. Behav.*, vol. 33, pp. 131–148, 2014.

[24]   L. Alcock and K. Weber, "Proof Validation In Real Analysis: Inferring And Checking Warrants," *J. Math. Behav.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 125–134, 2005.

[25]   L. Alcock and A. Simpson, "Convergence Of Sequences And Series: Interactions Between Visual Reasoning And The Learner's Beliefs About Their Own Role," *Educ. Stud. Math.*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 1–32, 2004.

[26]   S. G. Stavrou, "Common Errors and Misconceptions in Mathematical Proving by Education Undergraduates," in *Issues in the Undergraduate Mathematics Preparation of School Teachers*, vol. 1, 2014.

[27]   P. C. Toh *et al.*, "The Problem-Solving Approach in the Teaching of Number Theory," *Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 241–255, 2014.

[28]   D. Iswadji, *Geometri Ruang*. Yogyakarta: Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, 2001.

[29]   S. T. Negoro and B. Harahap, *Ensiklopedia Matematika*. Ghalia Indonesia, 2014.

[30]   D. H. Clements and J. Sarama, "Early Childhood Teacher Education: The Case Of Geometry," *J. Math. Teach. Educ.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 133–148, 2011.

[31]   A. Couto and I. Vale, "Preservice Teachers' Knowledge On Elementary Geometry Concepts," *J. Eur. Teach. Educ. Netw.*, vol. 9, no. 0, pp. 57–73, 2013.

[32]   R. Novita, R. C. I. Prahmana, N. Fajri, and M. Putra, "Penyebab Kesulitan Belajar Geometri Dimensi Tiga," *J. Ris. Pendidik. Mat.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 18–29, 2018.

[33]   D. A. Romano, "Prospective B&H Elementary School Teachers' Understanding Of Processes With Basic Geometric Concepts," *IMVI Open Math. Educ. Notes*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 29–42, 2017.

[34]   R. R. Robichaux-Davis and A. J. Guarino, "Assessing Elementary Pre-Service Teachers' Knowledge for Teaching Geometry," *Int. J. Math. Stat. Invent.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 12–20, 2016.

[35]   F. A. Tutak and T. L. Adams, "A Study Of Geometry Content Knowledge Of Elementary Preservice Teachers," *Int. Electron. J. Elem. Educ.*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 301–318, 2015.

[36]   C. Browning, A. J. Edson, P. Kimani, and F. Aslan-Tutak, "Mathematical Content Knowledge For Teaching Elementary Mathematics: A Focus On Geometry And Measurement," *Math. Enthus.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 333–383, 2014.

[37]   V. M. Colapietro, *Glossary of Semiotics*. New York, NY: Paragon House, 1993.

[38]   R. Duval, "A Cognitive Analysis Of Problems Of Comprehension In A Learning Of Mathematics," *Educ. Stud. Math.*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 103–131, 2006.

[39]   U. Eco, *A Theory Of Semiotics*. Bloomington:, 1976.

[40]   N. Presmeg, L. Radford, W.-M. Roth, and G. Kadunz, *Semiotics in Mathematics Education ICME-13 Topical Surveys*. Springer Nature, 2016.

[41]   M. B. Miles and A. M. Huberman, *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif*. Jakarta: UI Press, 1992.

[42]   W. J. Creswell, *Educational Research Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research 4th Edition*. Boston: Pearson Education, 2012.

[43]   Permendikbud, "Nomor 67 Tentang Kerangka Dasar Dan Struktur Kurikulum Sekolah Dasar/Madrasah Ibtidaiyah," 2013.

[44]   P. P. Shein, "Seeing With Two Eyes: A Teacher's Use Of Gestures In Questioning And Revoicing To Engage English Language Learners In The Repair Of Mathematical Errors," *J. Res. Math. Educ.*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 182–222, 2012.

[45]   S. W. Cook, H. S. Friedman, K. A. Duggan, J. Cui, and V. Popescu, "Hand Gesture And Mathematics Learning: Lessons From An Avatar," *Cogn. Sci.*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 518–535, 2017.

Assignment Letter

Dari: ICOMER 2022 (icomer@ums.ac.id)

Kepada: btlia@rocketmail.com

Tanggal: Rabu, 14 September 2022 12.58 GMT+7

Dear participant,
We send a official travel letter (assignment letter) that has been approved by the committee

Thank You

📄 31 - LIA BUDI TRISTANTI_Assigned.pdf
411.9kB

Review Result

Dari: ICOMER 2022 (icomer@ums.ac.id)

Kepada: btlia@rocketmail.com

Tanggal: Rabu, 31 Agustus 2022 22.00 GMT+7

Dear: Tristanti, Lia Budi
At STKIP PGRI JOMBANG, Indonesia

I hope this email finds you well. Please be informed that the review results have been released and can be accessed in the conference's **conftool**. There are a few things to note:

1.   You are suggested to revise the article according to the Reviewer's advice if any.

2.   Provide your file name with the "Revised_(Mathematics and Statistics/AIP/Jramathedu/Barekeng/Silogisme/ISSN)_(Number ID)".

        For instance: "Revised_Mathematics and Statistics_14 or "Revised_AIP_14" or "Revised_Jramathedu_14" or "Revised_Barekeng_14" or "Revised_Silogisme_14" or "Revised_ISSN_14".

        This will make it easier for committees to evaluate that the manuscript you uploaded has been revised.

3.   Please submit your revised manuscript no later than **September, 7th 2022**, through the conference web tool.

4.   Please login into the conference web tool, then upload the revised paper on the menu "Upload Contribution." Do not use the "submit contribution" button.

5.   Make a registration payment before **September, 10th 2022**.

6.   Upload proof of registration payment via https://icomer.ums.ac.id/2022/registration/ **before September, 10th 2022**.

7.   The results of the review of articles applying for jramathedu are still awaiting the results review.

8.   for author(s) who have not been able to access the review results, please wait for the next few days.

9.   Please join WhatsApp group ICOMER 2022

We look forward to your revised manuscript. Stay safe and healthy. Glad to see you at the conference.

Thanks.

Committee ICOMER 2022.

INFORMATION ICOMER 2022

Dari: icomer@ums.ac.id

Kepada: btlia@rocketmail.com

Tanggal: Sabtu, 10 September 2022 07.14 GMT+7

Dear **Lia Budi Tristanti**
At STKIP PGRI JOMBANG

Article Title **Students' Mathematical Argumentation Ability Based on Mathematical Material and Semiotic Approach**

Your paper deserves to be presented in ICOMER 2022

Hereby, we informed you some information:

1. Review results of your paper can be accessed via contftool, if there are no review results, please wait for the results of the review in the next few days

2. You are suggested to revise the article according to the Reviewer's advice if any.

3. Provide your file name with the "Revised_(Mathematics and Statistics/AIP/Jramathedu/Barekeng/Silogisme/ISSN)_(Number ID)".
For instance: "Revised_Mathematics and Statistics_14 or "Revised_AIP_14" or "Revised_Jramathedu_14" or "Revised_Barekeng_14" or "Revised_Silogisme_14" or "Revised_ISSN_14".
(This will make it easier for committees to evaluate that the manuscript you uploaded has been revised)

4. Please submit your revised manuscript no later than **September, 13th 2022**, through the conference web tool.

5. Please login into the conference web tool, then upload the revised paper on the menu "Upload Contribution." Do not use the "submit contribution" button.

6. Make a registration payment before **September, 13th 2022**

7. Upload proof of registration payment via payment link **before September, 14th 2022**.

8. Please join the WhatsApp group ICOMER 2022

PARALLEL PRESENTATION GUIDANCE
The 2nd International Conference on Mathematics and Learning Research (ICOMER) 2022

Based on information from the website https://icomer.ums.ac.id/2022/ ,
this conference will be held on **September 14th, 2022**. Therefore, the parallel session will use a

presentation video uploaded on YouTube.
Upload the video no longer than **September 12th, 2022**, via the following form:

https://forms.gle/ton8i6jb3sSy6Nr68

The terms of the presentation video are as follows:
1. Video duration must be between 10-15 minutes

2. The content of the PowerPoint must be written in English

3. The presentation is highly recommended to use English

4. The video must use ICOMER 2022 template presentation that can be accessed in here

5. The video must be uploaded to YouTube (https://www.youtube.com/)

6. The video title on Youtube should be formatted "ICOMER 2022_Paper ID_Paper Title".
For instance: "ICOMER 2022_ID45_What are the pupils' challenges in implementing reflective thinking for problem-solving?"

7. Insert Hashtag #icomer2022 in your video caption. It will help committees and other authors to seek.

8. Paper with more than one speaker can create a single presentation video that shows the presence of all speakers, or they make each presentation video.

The parallel session will be conducted in **three days (14-16 September 2022)**.
The presenter is stated to have filled out the parallel session presentation attendance form with the following conditions:
1. Have uploaded a presentation video

2. Have commented on **five presentation videos** - Authors are required to comment on at least five videos in their similar classes

3. Report screen capture of discussions to the link that we'll deliver later

The list of the presentation videos will be uploaded to the website ICOMER 2022, and the link to the parallel session presentation attendance form will be given on **September 14th, 2022** after all Invited Speakers have presented their material. The presenter certificates will be published on **September 28th, 2022** on the website of ICOMER 2022.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:
Please join ICOMER 2022 **WhatsApp Group**, because all of the information will be announced in the **WhatsApp group ICOMER 2022**
For you who do not upload the payment proof yet, please upload it in the Payment Proof Upload Link: **Payment Link**

Regards,

The Committee of ICOMER 2022

Assignment Letter

Dari: ICOMER 2022 (icomer@ums.ac.id)

Kepada: btlia@rocketmail.com

Tanggal: Rabu, 14 September 2022 12.58 GMT+7

Dear participant,
We send a official travel letter (assignment letter) that has been approved by the committee

Thank You

📄 31 - LIA BUDI TRISTANTI_Assigned.pdf
411.9kB

ICOMER 2022: AIPCP Proceeding Paper Submission

Dari: Google Formulir (forms-receipts-noreply@google.com)

Kepada: btlia@rocketmail.com

Tanggal: Kamis, 29 September 2022 14.27 GMT+7

Google Forms

Terima kasih telah mengisi **ICOMER 2022: AIPCP Proceeding Paper Submission**

Berikut adalah tanggapan yang diterima.

Edit jawaban

ICOMER 2022: AIPCP Proceeding Paper Submission

Dear **AIPCP Authors**

Assalamualaikum wr wb
This form is used for you to upload the final paper to be published by AIPCP. Therefore, you need to upload the following documents:
1. Statement of Willingness to Pay AIPCP Publication Process (**The Form**)
2. Proof of Payment As Much As Rp 2.500.000
3. Proof of similarity check less than 20% (you may use Turnitin or any equivalent tools)
4. Upload final papers

The payment can be done by transfer to the following bank account:
Account holder: Rini Setyaningsih
Bank/Branch: Bank Negara Indonesia (BNI)
Account Number: 0264306925

If there is any query, please contact the following number:
Naufal Ishartono (082210175059)

Email *

btlia@rocketmail.com

Uploader Name *

Lia Budi Tristanti

Uploader Institution *

Please do not use any abbreviation (Ex: UMS)

STKIP PGRI JOMBANG

Uploader WhatsApp Number *

08563366337

The Paper Title

Students' Mathematical Argumentation Ability Based on Mathematical Material and Semiotic Approach

Corresponding Author's First Name *

Lia Budi

Corresponding Author's Surname *

Tristanti

Corresponding Author's Affiliation *

Lia Budi Tristanti

Corresponding Author's Country *

Indonesia

Corresponding Author's Email *

btlia@rocketmail.com

Bank Account Number *

0296165088

Bank Name *

Bank Negara Indonesia (BNI)

Bank Account Holder's Name *

Lia Budi Tristanti

Upload Full Paper *

File yang dikirim

| W | Revised_AIP_119 - LIA BUDI TRISTANTI.docx |

AIP Publication Payment Proof (Rp 2.500.000) *

File yang dikirim

| 🖼 | WhatsApp Image 2022-09-29 at 14.07.48 - LIA BUDI TRISTANTI.jpeg |

Similarity Proof Less Than 20% *

File yang dikirim

| PDF | Students' Mathematical Argumentation Ability Based on Mathematical Material and Semiotic Approach - LIA BUDI TRISTANTI.pdf |

Statement of Willingness to Pay Publication in AIP *

Download **The Form**

File yang dikirim

| PDF | Statement Letter AIP Conference Proceedings - LIA BUDI TRISTANTI.pdf |

Thank you for submitting

Buat Google Formulir sendiri
Laporkan Penyalahgunaan

LoA ICOMER 2022

Dari: ICOMER 2022 (icomer@ums.ac.id)

Kepada: a710180034@student.ums.ac.id; ifa_da@uinsaizu.ac.id; btlia@rocketmail.com; a410180144@student.ums.ac.id;
          a410180167@student.ums.ac.id; a710180003@student.ums.ac.id; a410180157@student.ums.ac.id;
          a410180016@student.ums.ac.id; a410180113@student.ums.ac.id; bellawsm123@gmail.com;
          tauvanlewis00@gmail.com; a410180069@student.ums.ac.id; a410180189@student.ums.ac.id;
          a410180094@student.ums.ac.id; a410180034@student.ums.ac.id; khasanah.nur@walisongo.ac.id;
          a410180077@student.ums.ac.id; wawan.krismanto@unm.ac.id; aflichyf@upi.edu;
          a410170091@student.ums.ac.id; a410180150@student.ums.ac.id; a410180149@student.ums.ac.id;
          a410180058@student.ums.ac.id; a410180118@student.ums.ac.id; a410180174@student.ums.ac.id;
          veramaryani.vm@gmail.com; tyasbudi42@gmail.com; a410180129@student.ums.ac.id;
          a410180191@student.ums.ac.id; rahmah.johar@unsyiah.ac.id; a410180202@student.ums.ac.id;
          lukmanjakfar.21016@mhs.unesa.ac.id; a410180140@student.ums.ac.id; a410180057@student.ums.ac.id;
          a410160231@student.ums.ac.id; a410170015@student.ums.ac.id; endahsetyowati@iainponorogo.ac.id;
          a410180197@student.ums.ac.id; galuhluki06s@gmail.com; trihartati068@student.uns.ac.id;
          a410180172@student.ums.ac.id; christina.k.sari@ums.ac.id; samsul.arifin@binus.ac.id;
          a510190245@student.ums.ac.id

Tanggal: Kamis, 24 November 2022 18.37 GMT+7

Dear Author ICOMER 2022
We are pleased to inform you that your papers has been initially reviewed by editors and we decided that your paper is
recommended to be submitted and to be published by AIP CP (indexed by Scopus)
then you can download the LoA of ICOMER 2022 in the link below:
https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1MTvkIZh7WTI7pO_F0m6zEEMQorZT2ZO1?usp=share_link

Best Regard,
Committe ICOMER 2022

THE 2nd INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MATHEMATICS
AND LEARNING RESEARCH (ICOMER) 2022

Assalamu'alaikum Warahmatullahi Wabarakatuh.

Dear **LIA BUDI TRISTANTI, TOTO NUSANTARA**

Congratulations,
We are pleased to inform you that your paper entitled

Students' Mathematical Argumentation Ability Based on Mathematical Material and Semiotic Approach

has been initially reviewed by editors and we decided that your paper is recommended to be submitted and to be published by AIP CP (indexed by Scopus).

For the term and condition of the publishers, please refers to the website
https://icomer.ums.ac.id/2022/aip/

We thank you for your cooperation.

Assalamu'alaikum Warahmatullahi Wabarakatuh.

Acknowledged by,

Dean of FKIP UMS   **Chairman of ICOMER 2022**

Prof. Dr. Sutama, M. Pd   Adi Nurcahyo, M. Pd